BEHAVIORAL ASSESSMENT REVEALS SOCIAL AGGREGATIONS IN PETROSAURUS THALASSINUS
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Resumen.— En un breve estudio de la lagartija endémica Petrosaurus thalassinus, en el Cañón de la Zorra, en la Reserva de la Biosfera Sierra La Laguna en México, a lo largo de siete días buscamos obtener un panorama preliminar de su estructura social al evaluar su comportamiento, morfología y uso de hábitat. Capturamos, marcamos y medimos 28 adultos y realizamos 19.4 horas de observaciones focales en 47 adultos marcados y no marcados en campo. Los machos tuvieron una longitud hocico-cloaca mayor a la de hembras, pero las hembras presentaron una mayor masa que los machos. Las lagartijas realizaron 30 conductas diferentes que se organizaron en ocho categorías. Los resultados resaltan la agregación de individuos en grupos de dos a cinco lagartijas, las cuales permanecían muy cerca (separados por < 1m) y en contacto visual frecuente (25/47 observaciones, 53.2%) mientras termorregulaban en rocas, o compartían espacios en grietas. Si bien las lagartijas agregadas exhibieron altos niveles de tolerancia mutua y despliegue de señales, también mostraron poca o ninguna agresión. Los individuos más grandes usaron las rocas más altas y ocuparon posiciones más altas sobre estas, pero no hubo diferencia entre sexos. Además, observamos interacciones interespecíficas de P. thalassinus con Sceloporus hunsakeri y con Ctenosaura hemilopha. Nuestros resultados indican que P. thalassinus podría tener un sistema social más complejo que el reportado en la mayoría de las lagartijas, lo que justifica una mayor investigación.
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Abstract.— In a brief study on the endemic lizard, Petrosaurus thalassinus, in the Cañón de la Zorra region of Sierra La Laguna Biosphere Reserve in Mexico, over seven days we aimed to gain a preliminary insight into their social structure by examining their behavior, morphology, and habitat use. We captured, marked, and measured 28 adults, and conducted 19.4 hours of focal observations on 47 marked and unmarked adults in the field. Males had longer snout-vent lengths than females, but females had greater mass than males of the same snout-vent length. Lizards exhibited 30 behaviors organized into eight categories. Most notably, while basking on rocks or sharing crevices they aggregated in groups of two to five individuals (< 1m apart) and in frequent visual contact (25/47 observations, 53.2%) while basking on rocks or sharing crevices. Although aggregated lizards showed high levels of mutual tolerance and signaling behavior, they exhibited little to no aggression. Larger lizards used taller rocks and took higher positions on them, but there was no difference between sexes. Furthermore, we observed P. thalassinus in interspecific interactions with Sceloporus hunsakeri and with Ctenosaura hemilopha. Our results indicate that P. thalassinus could have a more complex social system than reported for most lizards, which warrants further investigation.
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INTRODUCTION

Cataloging and documenting the natural behavior of a species is imperative to providing an accurate baseline of normal behavioral expression, as animals in captivity can exhibit behavioral alterations and anomalies (Kitchen & Martin, 1996; Morgan & Tromborg, 2007). For many species, their behavioral repertoire has been well-documented with functions and mechanisms associated with each behavior that can promote an understanding of their ecology, as well as an ability to assess sociality.

Most studies on behavior and its drivers have been carried out on birds, mammals, and insects, whereas reptile behavior studies tend to focus on the role of habitat and space use in life history. Habitat can shape the morphology and behavior of lizards (Williams, 1983; Losos et al., 1998; Goodman et al., 2008; Tulli et al., 2009), with rock-dwelling lizards tending to have flat bodies, flat heads, and short limbs (Herrel et al., 2002; Pelegrin et al., 2017). Changes in habitat structure can in turn influence and modify behavioral plasticity in lizards (e.g., Ramos & Peters, 2017).

Social behavior, which for lizards often consists of ecological aggregations, can be affected by biotic components of the habitat in combination with resource availability, as individuals that are attracted to the same environmental features are more likely to interact (Graves & Duvall, 1995; Mouton et al., 1999; Kearney et al., 2001; Mouton, 2011; Eifler & Eifler, 2014). In the wild, lizards that tend to aggregate usually share crevices, shelters, or basking and feeding sites (Mouton et al., 1999; Nieuwoudt et al., 2003; Bishop & Echternacht, 2004; Barry et al., 2014; Eifler & Eifler, 2014). When shelters and food are experimentally provided so they are not limiting resources, some individuals avoid conspecifics (Schutz et al., 2007), while others maintain aggregations (Gardner et al., 2016). Viviparous lineages of lizards are more likely to aggregate (Gardner et al., 2016; Halliwell, et al., 2017), perhaps due to delayed juvenile dispersal (Davis et al., 2011), although longevity, monogamy, and investment in chemical signaling also enhance tendencies to aggregate (Gardner et al., 2016; Baekens & Whiting, 2021).

Proximity among individuals that facilitate aggregation also arises from mutual attraction, direct benefits (e.g., predator defense (Downes & Hoefer, 2004; Mouton, 2011), enhanced vigilance (Mouton et al., 1999), or thermoregulation (Shah et al., 2003)). More complex forms of sociality, such as parental care (Qi et al., 2012), cooperative burrow construction (McAlpin et al., 2011), or protection against infanticide (O’Connor & Shine, 2004) can occur in lizards when the social composition of a group includes both sexes or juveniles. Nevertheless, fully interacting social groups in lizards have only rarely been observed, with true sociality documented in less than 1% of all lizard species (Gardner et al., 2016).

Petrosaurus thalassinus (Cope), the San Lucan Rock lizard, is a large, oviparous species in the family Phrynosomatidae that is endemic to rocky areas in the southern half of the Baja California peninsula. Although an iconic reptile, little is known about the species. Some insights into their reproduction, parasitic loads, thermoregulation, and genetic variability are available (Aguilars-S. et al., 1988; Jiménez & Palacios, 1999; Goldberg & Beaman, 2004; Bursey & Goldberg, 2007; Cardona-Botero et al., 2020), but their behavior in their natural environment is largely unknown. They are omnivorous and probably sit-and-wait foragers like the closely related P. mearnsi (De Lisle, 1991; Ardura, 2021). Adult males are generally larger than females, with body size ranging from 87 – 175 mm Snout – Vent Length (SVL) and their banded tail sometimes nearly twice as long as their body (Goldberg & Beaman, 2004). We aimed to develop a comprehensive catalog of the behavior of P. thalassinus based on field observations, and to gain preliminary insight into their social structure by examining the relationship between behavior, morphology, and habitat.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site

During seven days (12th – 19th March 2022) we studied P. thalassinus in the Cañón de la Zorra, in the Sierra La Laguna. This area, located in Baja California Sur, Mexico (23.498339° N, 109.793504° W; WGS84 datum) was designated by the Mexican Government as a Protected Area (CONANP, 1994) and later, as a Global Biosphere Reserve (designated by UNESCO, 2003) (Fig. 1). Our study site (90,000 m²) was adjacent to Arroyo San Juanito, a small shallow river in a valley strewn with numerous large boulders, vegetation, and sand banks. The Sierra La Laguna is primarily a San Lucan xeric scrub ecoregion, with open forests of Quercus tuberculata and short shrubs of Mimosa xanti, Acacia brandegeean, Dodonaea viscosa, Tephrosia cana, and Bernardia lagunensis. The climate is a tropical and subtropical desert climate (i.e., BWh) (Peel et al., 2007; Gonzalez-Abraham et al., 2010). We recorded air temperature every 300 s (from 08:30 – 14:30 h) with a temperature data logger (Tempo Disc ™ BlueMaestro) placed on a rock in full sun at the edge of the river (recorded temperature range = 12.2° – 47.8° C). We observed little cloud cover and no precipitation for the duration of the study.
Animal capture and habitat data

We captured lizards using a small lasso attached to an extendable pole, recorded the location of their initial sighting with a handheld GPS (Garmin Oregon 500) and marked the capture site to characterize the habitat in the afternoon of the same day. We measured all captured animals (Snout-Vent-Length, SVL, tail length, and mass), sexed them by probing, and uniquely marked each with nontoxic paint at the base of their tail to avoid recapture and to allow identification during behavioral observations. We always captured lizards and recorded their behavior on the rocks, almost never sighting them off rocks. After each capture, we recorded information relative to where the lizard was initially sighted: the height of the rock (= rock height; an assessment of the maximum vertical position available to lizards), the vertical position of the lizard on the rock (= height on rock; an assessment of the lizard’s position relative to available vertical locations), the presence of crevices, and whether the lizard was initially sighted on a local high point.

We defined a local high point as a location from which movement in any direction resulted in a lower height on the rock. All lizards were released at their initial sighting location within 3 – 6 h after capture and were not observed for 24 h after release. We also observed some lizards that we were unable to capture, for which we could not assign sex or assess body size. We marked areas where we first sighted lizards and did not make any additional observations of unmarked lizards in the area to avoid recording information for the same individual more than once.

Ethogram and behavioral observations

Prior to conducting focal observations, we spent several days observing animals throughout the study area to generate an ethogram. Lizards were observed with binoculars from a distance of ca. 10 – > 50 m. In addition to defining behaviors, we designated behaviors as events (by counting their occurrence) or as states (by timing their occurrence) and grouped behaviors into categories. Subsequently, from 08:30 – 14:30 h, teams of two people conducted 30-min focal observations. We conducted a total of 1165 min of focal observations on 47 lizards, 13 of which were previously marked (see above, 27.7%; n = 8 males, 5 females). We observed each lizard only once, halting observations prior to 30 min when the animal moved out of sight for > 2 min. During observations, one team member watched the focal animal...
and dictated its behavior to the second team member who recorded behaviors and used a stopwatch to time the duration of behavioral states. We also noted other *P. thalassinus* within 1 m of the focal lizard (i.e., group size) and recorded details of interspecific interactions. Interactions with other species were recorded opportunistically outside of observation periods.

**Statistical Analyses**

We used general linear models to examine the scaling relationship for log-transformed body size (mass and SVL) by sex and to examine the relationship between rock height, height on rock, and body size (square root-transformed SVL). For general linear models, we employed stepwise selection, where SVL, sex and their interaction were considered with a threshold of $P = 0.15$ for removal. For analyses, we converted behaviors that were counted (i.e., events) into rates (per h), and behaviors that were timed (i.e., states) to percentages relative to the total observation period. We analyzed the interrelationship between the behavioral categories of movement, signals, and environmental monitoring, as well as their relationship with temperature using Pearson correlations. We tested for behavioral differences between the sexes, as well as individual lizards and those in groups using Mann-Whitney tests, considering differences significant when $P<0.05$. We used Minitab 19 (College Park, PA) for statistical analysis.

**RESULTS**

**Body size and perch use**

We captured, marked, and measured 28 adult *P. thalassinus* (21 females and 7 males). Males had longer SVLs than females (GLM, $P < 0.05$, Fig. 2). Body mass was significantly related to both SVL and sex (GLM: SVL: $F_{1,25} = 431.5$, $P < 0.001$; Sex: $F_{1,25} = 5.63$, $P = 0.026$), with females being heavier than males of similar SVL (Fig. 2). Lizards with longer SVLs perched higher on taller rocks (rock height: $F_{1,26} = 6.16$, $P = 0.02$; height on rock: $F_{1,26} = 4.39$, $P = 0.046$); neither height on rock nor rock height was related to sex. Of the 28 captured lizards, 93% ($n = 26$) were found on rocks with crevices, 96% ($n = 27$) were positioned under the canopy, and 39% ($n = 11$) were initially observed on a local high point. We observed 30 behaviors, which we organized into eight behavioral categories (Table 1). We observed lizards eating on a few occasions but were unable to determine the food consumed. Digging behavior was only observed in one individual, which repeatedly jumped from a rock and then dug in the same sandy location. The digging did not lead to eating, and after several iterations the lizard left the area.

The behavioral category of movement was positively correlated with the category of signals ($r = 0.243$, $P = 0.046$) and of environmental evaluation ($r = 0.642$, $P < 0.001$). None of those three categories were correlated with temperature (movement: $r$...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavioral category</th>
<th>Specific behavior</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agonistic</strong></td>
<td>Avoidance</td>
<td>Lizard one turns away at the presence of lizard two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chase/Flee</td>
<td>Lizard one quickly moves towards lizard two. Lizard two moves away quickly. Lizard one pursues, following any directional changes of lizard two.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Displacement</td>
<td>Lizard one moves towards lizard two. Lizard two avoids lizard one by relinquishing its location to lizard one (i.e., is displaced). Lizard one takes the position of lizard two.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental Monitoring</strong></td>
<td>Head turns</td>
<td>The head is moved (up, down, left, or right) while the body remains still.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Head tilt</td>
<td>The head is rotated longitudinally while the body remains still.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Substrate touch</td>
<td>The lizard touches the ground with its mouth or tongue or drags its face along the ground before returning its head to a neutral position.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Miscellaneous</strong></td>
<td>Biting</td>
<td>The mouth is opened and then closed around a non-food object (agonistic or environmental monitoring, depending on object).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dig</td>
<td>The anterior legs scratch at the substrate creating a depression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feeding</strong></td>
<td>Biting</td>
<td>The lizard consumes a food item: opening and closing its mouth repeatedly, then swallowing the food item.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Movement</strong></td>
<td>Jump</td>
<td>Movement in which the lizard displaces its body while all four feet are off the ground.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moves</td>
<td>A shift in location of the whole body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Back-arch</td>
<td>The middle of the back is raised upwards while the feet are on the ground and the head and chest are lowered to make a curved arc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body dangle</td>
<td>The anterior portion of the lizard’s body extends off the edge of a surface, such as a rock, while the posterior portion stays in contact with the surface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body tilt</td>
<td>With its feet remaining in contact with the substrate, the lizard rolls its body along the longitudinal axis, exposing its ventral area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compressed posture</td>
<td>The lizard lowers its body so that the ventral surface touches the substrate, giving the lizard a flattened appearance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Postural</strong></td>
<td>Eye lick</td>
<td>The lizard touches their own eye with their tongue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eyes closed</td>
<td>The eyelids are closed for more than a blink.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Survey posture</td>
<td>The lizard raises the anterior part of the body and lifts the head while the posterior part of the body stays close to the ground.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tail move</td>
<td>A wave of or adjustment to the position of the tail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toes-up</td>
<td>The lizard raises their toes off the ground while the rest of the foot remains on the ground.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yawn</td>
<td>The lizard fully opens then closes its mouth; could be a signal but unclear from context.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
= −0.035, P = 0.817; signals: r = −0.286, P = 0.051; environmental evaluation: r = −0.225, P = 0.128). We observed nearly all focal individuals (46/47, 98%) engaging in environmental evaluation (i.e., head turns, head tilts, and substrate touches). Head tilts (i.e., visual evaluation; n = 35/47 lizards, 74%) were positively correlated with substrate touches (i.e., chemical evaluation; n = 11/47 lizards, 23%; r = 0.520, P < 0.001). Among signaling behaviors, head bobs were especially common (n = 37/47 lizards, 79%), with one animal performing head bobs at a rate of 249.6/h (Table 2). The only behavior that differed significantly between the sexes was throat expansion, which was only seen in males (n = 5 of 8 males (63%); U = 6, P = 0.018), although we observed throat expansions in 10 lizards that we were unable to capture so were of unknown sex (n = 18; 5.89 ± 2.42 (SE), range: 0 – 46, median = 4.0). Among signaling behaviors, head bobs were especially common (n = 37/47 lizards, 79%), with one animal performing head bobs at a rate of 249.6/h (Table 2). The only behavior that differed significantly between the sexes was throat expansion, which was only seen in males (n = 5 of 8 males (63%); U = 6, P = 0.018), although we observed throat expansions in 10 lizards that we were unable to capture so were of unknown sex (n = 18; 5.89 ± 2.42 (SE), range: 0 – 46, median = 4.0). The only behaviors where individual lizards and those in groups differed were chases and nonaggressive contact, both of which can only occur for lizards in groups. Individuals spent most of their time in survey posture ( = 57.5%; Table 2). When we compared single lizards to individuals in groups, we found no significant differences for any behavior (Mann-Whitney tests).

Slightly more than half of the lizards we observed (n = 25; 53%) were found interacting in conspecific groups (i.e., with individuals < 1 m apart). Of those, 52% (n = 13) were observed interacting in pairs, 32% (n = 8) interacted in groups of three, and 16% (n = 4) were found in groups of four (Figs. 3 – 6). Conspecific interactions were influenced by ambient temperature, with the number of group members (r = 0.314, P = 0.032) and the percentage of time spent in a state of mutual tolerance (r = 0.287, P = 0.050) being positively, while frequency of avoidance (r = −0.301, P = 0.040) and head tilts (r = −0.376, P = 0.009) were negatively correlated with temperature. On three occasions we observed adult P. thalassinus involved in interspecific interactions with adult lizards of other species: 1) one P. thalassinus avoided one Ctenosaura hemilopha (Fig. 7); 2) one P. thalassinus shared a rock for ca. 5 min with one C. hemilopha, with the two ca. 60 cm apart and apparently in visual contact. During the interaction, the P. thalassinus did push-ups and head bobs; and 3) one P. thalassinus shared a rock with one Sceloporus hunsakeri (Fig. 8), with the two ca. 80 cm apart for ca. 2 min before both moved to a local high point on a different rock that they also shared, remaining ca. 60 cm apart for ca. 5 min.

### Table 1 (cont.). Ethogram of behaviors expressed by *Petrosaurus thalassinus*, obtained during 1165 min of direct observation in Sierra La Laguna natural protected area, Baja California Sur, Mexico. Behaviors separated by “/” indicate pairs of behaviors that occurred together during an interaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavioral category</th>
<th>Specific behavior</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exposed throat</td>
<td>The lizard raises and tilts its head upwards, displaying its throat.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head bobs</td>
<td>The alternate raising and lowering of the head in a continuous motion that can be counted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head shudder</td>
<td>A very rapid series of head bobs that are too quick to be individually counted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head sway</td>
<td>The lizard deliberately moves its head along the horizontal plane in a fluid motion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Push-up</td>
<td>The raising and lowering of the anterior part of the body while the posterior of the body remains on the substrate and still.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throat expansion</td>
<td>The lizard inflates and then deflates the gular region. The inflation &amp; deflation can be fast, or the inflation can last for a few s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crevice sharing</td>
<td>≥ two lizards positioned in the same rock crevice at the same time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutual tolerance</td>
<td>≥ two lizards are positioned within 30 cm of each other for &gt; 30 s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-aggressive contact</td>
<td>≥ two lizards touch each other’s bodies without force or biting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3 (up). Dos ejemplares de Petrosaurus thalassinus en tolerancia mutua en una grieta. Fotografía de Marcia Valenzuela.

Figure 3 (arriba). Two Petrosaurus thalassinus showing mutual tolerance in a crevice. Photo by Marcia Valenzuela.

Figure 4 (right). Secuencia de la interacción entre dos hembras marcadas y un individuo de tamaño grande sin marcar de Petrosaurus thalassinus. a) un individuo sin marcar en la postura de vigilancia, posado en una posición más alta sobre una roca en comparación con dos hembras marcadas ubicadas más abajo en la misma roca. b) el individuo sin marcar bajó y se mantuvo cercano a las dos hembras marcadas. Fotografía de Marcia Valenzuela.

Figure 4 (derecha). Sequence of the interaction between two marked females and a larger unmarked individual of Petrosaurus thalassinus. a) an unmarked individual in survey posture, perched higher on a rock compared to two marked females located lower on the rock. b) the unmarked individual ran down and stayed in close proximity to the two marked females. Photo by Marcia Valenzuela.
Figura 5. Área rocosa donde se observaron cinco Petrosaurus thalassinus próximos, cuatro de los cuales se muestran en la foto. La agrupación no se identificó durante las observaciones focales y los individuos no cumplieron con nuestra distancia de interacción, por lo que el grupo no se incluyó en los análisis. Fotografía de Marcia Valenzuela.

Figure 5. Rocky area where we noted five proximate Petrosaurus thalassinus, with four pictured. The assemblage did not occur during focal observations and individuals did not meet our interaction distance, so the aggregation was not included in analyses. Photo by Marcia Valenzuela.
DISCUSSION

Ethogram
Our study provides baseline information on the behavior and natural history of *P. thalassinus*. A small subset of the behaviors defined in our ethogram (Table 1), primarily from the categories of movement, signaling, or environmental monitoring, accounted for most of the activity we observed during our study, possibly as individuals evaluated and responded to their social environment and habitat (Watters, 2009; Steinberg et al., 2014). Other behaviors occurred infrequently, probably due to the short duration of our study as well as the nature of *P. thalassinus* foraging, as sit-and-wait lizards are generally inactive (Huey & Pianka, 1981). Additionally, adult phrynosomatids are only rarely observed foraging and most frequently are immobile, with the main behaviors recorded for other species falling into our postural or signal categories (Watters, 2009).

Sex differences
We found no measurable differences in behavior between the sexes, except in incidents of throat expansion, although our conclusions are limited by the small number of animals that we were able to capture and sex. Nevertheless, we were able to document differences between males and females in body size and basic habitat use. Males of *P. thalassinus* were longer than females, but females were heavier than males of the same length (Fig. 2).

Similar mixed body-size differences between the sexes have been found in other lizard species, possible due to phylogeny or to reproduction (Cox et al., 2007). Gravid females can be heavier than males due to the presence of eggs (Cox et al., 2007; López Juri et al., 2018); our study corresponded with the reproductive season for *P. thalassinus* (Goldberg & Beaman, 2004), which could account for our mixed body-size measurements. Body mass and SVL measurements are needed at other times of the year to verify whether the trend we found continues outside the breeding season and to interpret sexual size dimorphism in the species. Although we did not detect sex differences in habitat use, body size was related to both the size of rocks on which we found lizards (i.e., rock height) and the position of the lizards on the rocks (i.e., height on rock). A similar pattern in *Anolis*...
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**Figura 7.** Interacción interespecífica (tolerancia mutua) entre Petrosaurus thalassinus (izquierda) y Sceloporus hunsakeri (derecha). Fotografía de Marcia Valenzuela.

**Figure 7.** Interspecific interaction (mutual tolerance) between a Petrosaurus thalassinus (left) and a Sceloporus hunsakeri (right). Photo by Marcia Valenzuela.

*homochochis* was attributed to urbanization and to the presence of predators (Vidal et al., 2023). Although Cañón de la Zorra is not urbanized, the site is frequented by eco-tourists. Vigilance from high perching sites could help individuals monitor the environment better and make them less prone to predatory attacks or human disturbance (Vidal et al., 2023).

**Tabla 2.** Tasas (media± SE), rangos y medianas de los comportamientos específicos más frecuentes observados en Petrosaurus thalassinus en Sierra La Laguna. Debido a que no se observaron diferencias entre sexos, se muestran los datos para todos los animales (n = 47).

**Table 2.** Rates (mean ± SE), ranges, and medians of the most frequent specific behaviors observed in Petrosaurus thalassinus in Sierra La Laguna. Because there were no differences between the sexes in behavior, data are combined for all animals (n = 47).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean ± SE</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head bobs h⁻¹</td>
<td>30.4 ± 6.6</td>
<td>0.0, 249.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head shudder h⁻¹</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.0, 47.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head tilt h⁻¹</td>
<td>10.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.0, 33.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head turn h⁻¹</td>
<td>50.9 ± 4.8</td>
<td>0.0, 159.6</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jumps h⁻¹</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.0, 25.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moves h⁻¹</td>
<td>39.8 ± 5.8</td>
<td>0.0, 169.3</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Push up h⁻¹</td>
<td>15.6 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0.0, 116.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate touch h⁻¹</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.0, 16.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey posture (%)</td>
<td>57.5 ± 4.5</td>
<td>0.0, 100.0</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AGGREGATIONS

Intraspecific interactions
The behaviors we observed and recorded indicate that *P. thalassinus* individuals are more social than previously realized, similar to what has been found in *P. mearnsi* (De Lisle, 1991). We recorded interactions, most of them non-aggressive, frequently seeing individuals in aggregations and positioned close to each other. In addition, we repeatedly found the same sets of marked individuals sharing crevices and observed some of the marked lizards interacting repeatedly (Figs. 3 – 5). We observed infrequent displacements, which are indicators of a dominance hierarchy, and we did not observe individuals defending an area, reducing the likelihood that *P. thalassinus* is a territorial species (Effenberger & Mouton, 2007). However, because we captured, sexed, and marked relatively few individuals in the population, we cannot speak definitively to their space use or social system. Besides the possibility of social attraction, the aggregations we observed could be due to resource limitation or habitat structure.

The preferred habitat of *P. thalassinus* contained tall rocks with crevices, which seemed to be unevenly distributed and limited in availability. Both the distribution of resources and a reliance on concentrated but limited resources can contribute to animal aggregations (Gardner et al., 2016; Garrison et al., 2016). When habitats contain limited numbers of appropriate rocks with crevices, groups can form when individuals congregate in suitable refugia (Chapple, 2003; Michael et al., 2010). For other lizard species, sharing habitat resources by living in groups would be beneficial for *P. thalassinus* (Effenberger & Mouton, 2007; Whiting & While, 2017).

Diet, predator pressure, ontogenetic stage, temperature, and season also could contribute to their tendency to form non-aggressive aggregations (Lemos-Espinal et al., 1997; Ruby, 1997; Chapple, 2003; Watters, 2009; Steinberg et al., 2014; Johnston et al., 2020). More detailed studies of the habitat structure, resource distribution and availability, and social connectedness in *P. thalassinus* in Sierra La Laguna are needed to understand the nature of their social relationships and to determine the causes underlying their aggregations. Social animals can use multimodal signals to convey information (Hews & Martins, 2013); for *P. thalassinus*, we determined that behaviors allowing visual evaluation of the environment (i.e., head tilts) were related to behaviors that allow chemical evaluation of the habitat.

Further studies thoroughly evaluating the social components of communication are also merited. In terms of sampling design, our distance metric to define animals aggregating into a group was 1 m. Distance between individuals considered proximate is rarely reported, with the occurrence of aggregations based on an observer’s subjective assessment and often defined as crevice sharing, shelter sharing, or basking together (Halliwell et al., 2017). Given that we observed individuals signaling to each other or responding to disturbance from people at distances >1 m (e.g., Fig. 6), individual *P. thalassinus* were likely to be aware of each other’s presence at greater distances than our conservative metric of 1 m. If individuals are aware of and respond to each other at distances >1 m, future studies should consider a broader definition of proximity to better understand the extent of social aggregations.

Interspecific interactions
Interactions between *P. thalassinus*, *Ctenosaura hemilopha* and *Sceloporus hunsakeri* (Figs. 7 & 8) could occur as a result of limited resources in their shared habitat (Sillerò & Gomes, 2016), as all three species prefer rocky habitats and both *C. hemilopha* and *P. thalassinus* perch high on rocks, close to refuges such as holes or crevices (Blízquez & Rodríguez-Estrella, 1997; Grismer, 2002; present study). We observed both avoidance and mutual tolerance among the three species, but a more thorough evaluation of interspecific interactions and the extent to which they partition habitat resources is merited.

CONCLUSIONS
The array of behavioral traits we recorded included social signals, movements, and environmental evaluation (Table 1). In combination with their tendency for intra- and interspecific social tolerance in the form of sharing rocks and crevices, indications are that *P. thalassinus* might be more social and behaviorally complex than previously thought. Future, large-scale studies focused on the role of habitat structure and food resources in group formation and maintenance, as well as a thorough social analysis of *P. thalassinus*, would shed light on a potentially nuanced and intricate social system in lizards.
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